

## **Photography Judging Rubric**

## Rules and presentation:

- Inspired by one of the set titles
- 6 or less photos, max size of each print is 25cm x 20cm, each print has a caption
- Mounted on a single sheet of light card maximum size 51cm x 65cm (including

- border, frame) no corflute, glass, wood, or other heavy frame backing is permitted
- Entrants own work, including any effects (commercial developing permitted)
- Written statement of about 100 words, as per rules, on back

Title			Title heading eye-catching, easy to read.	Title heading eye-catching but not easily read.	Title heading absent or unclear.
Communication of ideas.	All photos have single idea and are clearly linked to the title. Photos obviously tell the story.	Most photos have a single idea and are clearly linked to the title. Overall story is apparent.	Some photos have a single idea within the title but rely on other photos. Overall story is unclear.	The photos are complicated trying to explain several ideas within the title. Photos rely on captions to relate the story.	The idea within most photos is unclear. What is the story?
Science content	The entry has excellent and accurate science content.	The entry has good science content included. Science content is accurate.	The entry has some science content included. Most science ideas accurate.	Limited science content included. Some science ideas accurate.	Science content absent, unclear or inaccurate.
Captions	Captions are short clear statements and directly relate to photos and the title. Captions add depth and detail to the story.	Captions are short clear statements and relate to photos and to the title. Captions add some detail or depth to the story.	Captions long but clear statements. Captions relate to photos and the title. Captions add limited detail or depth to the story.	Captions are too long statements. Captions must be read to understand the photo and relate to the title. Captions dominate the telling of the story.	Captions absent or obscure.
Quality of photos	Excellent quality. Focus, depth of field and exposure is appropriate. Composition draws the viewer's eye to the main idea in the photo.	Good quality. Focus depth of field and exposure or OK.  Composition mostly shows main idea in photo.	Satisfactory quality. Focus, depth of field and/or exposure could be better. Composition generally shows the main idea in the photo.	Satisfactory quality. Focus, depth of field or exposure setting distracts from the photo. Composition contains unnecessary, distracting parts which detract from the photos.	Poor quality. Focus, depth of field or exposure setting distracts from the photo. Composition does not show main idea in photo.
Display of photos	Photos displayed in an eye- catching way; order and placement strongly enhance the story. Photos trimmed or framed to suit the theme. Photos dominate the display	Photos displayed neatly. Order and placement help tell the story. Photos not trimmed or framed but still presented clearly. Photos remain the focus of the display.	Photos displayed adequately. Order and placement simple and add little to the story. Background or captions begin to distract from the photos.	Photos displayed poorly. Order or placement confusing. Background or captions dominate the display.	Photos obviously missing. Display unfinished.
Written statement	Clear, well-written statement including camera/device details, editing or printing process, special effects, and acknowledgement of any Al tools used.	Clear statement including most details about the camera or device, editing or printing process, and special effects or AI tools used.	Statement includes some relevant details about the camera or device and editing or printing process, but lacks clarity or misses some elements.	Statement brief or vague, mentioning only one or two required details such as device or editing process.	No written statement provided, or missing key information such as device, process, or Al acknowledgement.